

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address C. (MMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DCC 2020), www.usptc.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 756,597	01 05 2001	Prodromos Pericies Stephanos	STEP-00-001	4758
7.5	90 03 26 2002			
Robert C. Hall BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 200 Middlefield Road			EXAMINER	
			TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
Suite 200 Menlo Park, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	

DATE MAILED: 03.26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address—		
Period for Reply	••			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ly within the statutory minexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application	nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935				
Disposition of Claims Claim(s)				
Claim(s)		and the second of the second		
Of the above claim(s)				
Claim(s) / - 2 }		is/are allowed.		
Claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement		
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on .	is 🗏 approved	·		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte		• •		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	io by the Examiner			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. & 119 <i>(a</i>	a)(d)		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	der 50 0.0.0. 3 115 (c	, (d).		
Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	ceived.			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec		No		
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	have been received			
in this national stage application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a))		
*Certified copies not received:		·		
^ttachment(s)				
otomatik ( ) i ko o statemente ( ) 👑 ( ) ko o o		Congress of the constraints		
Notice of Reference(s) Cifed, PTO-892	,	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15.		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	(	Other		

Office Action Summary

16 S GPO 2 1 4 1, 999 432 4

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: there is no support in the specification for the limitations of claim 19, the proportions of claim 20 and 21 and the limitation (e) of claim 21. These limitations should be inserted in an appropriate place in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10, 16, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are rejected because the term "short" is a relative term that has no comparative value.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 1714

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkins (5.252.107) in view of Wesley (5,773,706).

Wilkins teaches a lighter fluid composition comprising from about 15 to about 30 weight % of a terpene, from about 40 to about 70% of an alcohol, from about 10 to about 30 weight % water and from about 1 to 2% surfactant (see col. 3, lines 41-63). Wilkins teaches the limitations of the of the claims other than the difference that are discussed below.

In the first aspect, Wilkins differs from the claim in that he does not specifically teach that the lighter fluid contains a thicker. However, Wesley teaches that crosslinked polyacrylic thickening agents are used to thicken fuels, such as charcoal lighter fluid.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have added a thicker to the fluid of Wilkins because Wesley teaches that the cross-linked polymers of his invention are added to alcoholic base lighter fluids, such as those taught by Wilkins

In the second aspect, Wilkins differs from the claims in that he does not teach that the alcohol is methanol or ethanol. However, this difference is not deemed to be unobvious because Wilkins teaches homologues of the claimed alcohols. Therefore, it would be reasonable to expect that given the close structural similarity of the

Application/Control Number: 09/756,597

Art Unit: 1714

In the third aspect. Wilkins differs from the claims in that he does not specifically teach that the composition contains aliphatic hydrocarbons. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included aliphatic hydrocarbons because these compounds are more combustible than the alcohol and improve the quality of the fuel.

The prior art made of record but not relied upon is cited for teaching lighter fluid compositions that contains thickeners.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 703-308-2509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/756,597

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Ćephia D. Toomer

Examiner Art Unit 1714

09756597\7 Examiner Toomer/ng

March 15, 2002